



Trinity NC City Council Meeting
Minutes
9/21/10

Members Present: Mayor Carlton Boyles, Tommy Johnson, Linda Gantt, Kelly Grooms, Kristen Varner, Barry Lambeth, Tyler Earnst, Robbie Sikes and Karen Bridges.

Members Absent: None

Others Present: City Manager Ann Bailie, City Attorney Bob Wilhoit, Utilities & Public Works Director Rich Baker, Planning & Zoning Administrator Adam Stumb, City Clerk/Finance Director Debbie Hinson, Assistant City Clerk Lori Hunt, members of the media and other interested parties.

Call to Order (*Mayor Carlton Boyles*)

- **Pledge of Allegiance**

Mayor Boyles led the Pledge of Allegiance.

- **Invocation**

Council member Lambeth gave the invocation.

- **Welcome Guests and Visitors**

Mayor Boyles welcomed those present and called the meeting to order.

Public Comments

G.F. Cain - 5624 Meadowbrook Dr.

Mr. Cain stated that he did not believe that Trinity needed waste disposal. He said that since we were in a recession he did not want trash service in Trinity. Mr. Cain advised that at the present time he did not need to add any more expenses.

Alton Ivey – 5558 Meadowbrook Dr.

Mr. Ivey stated that he had been at his address for 40 years and does not need trash service. He advised that in his opinion Trinity should put up dumpsters and hire a man to guard the gate.

Chester Ayers – 3498 Circle Court

Mr. Ayers advised that he had lived in Trinity for 35 years. He stated that he supports the curbside trash and recycling pick up. Mr. Ayers said that the City Manager is working hard to resolve the trash and recycling issues. He stated that he is concerned that neighbors on private roads may be asked to sign a waiver if they receive trash and recycling service. Mr. Ayers asked the question If trash haulers damage the roads, shouldn't the damage be paid for. He asked 4 questions:
Who is going to be responsible for the maintenance of the recycle containers and what is their cost?

Should the residents have white goods pick up?

How long can the residents expect the monthly fee to remain at \$15 per month?
What is the status of the uniforms for the haulers?

Edith Reddick – 5824 NC Hwy. 62

Ms. Reddick stated that she is not against trash and recycling but does not believe that everyone should be made to do it. She advised that most people are not getting raises and are getting cuts. Ms. Reddick questioned who is going to haul the senior citizens totter to the road. Ms. Reddick said she is concerned about the additional traffic the trash service would generate. She said she would like to see recycling on the City property.

Lewis Hawks – 7308 Farmbrook Pl.

He stated that he understands that by federal mandate people are going to be required to recycle. He said that he is paying \$45 every 3 months and the cost is not a problem.

Mr. Hawks advised that he is also concerned about parking on the side of the streets in neighborhoods. He would like to see the City come up with a solution to prevent the parking on the side of the streets.

Clay Poole - 5243 NC Hwy. 62

Mr. Poole advised that he had heard the comment that people could not afford the trash service and he wanted to know what people are doing with their trash at the present time. He stated that he is trying to do what is asked of him by not putting plastics in his garbage but he has nowhere to take the recyclables. He stated that he believes that there should be recycling and that the City should get the service as soon as possible.

Mayor Boyles closed the public comments section.

Requests/Reports/Recognition

1. July Yard of the Month as recognized by Friends of Trinity: Baxter and Mae Coltrane at 5666 Morgan St. (Mayor Boyles)

Mayor Boyles recognized Baxter & Mae Coltrane for cultivating beauty at their property.

2. September Yard of the Month as recognized by Friends of Trinity: Elbert and Carolyn Evans at 4408 NC HWY 62. (Mayor Boyles)

Mayor Boyles recognized Elbert & Carolyn Evans for cultivating beauty at their property.

3. Funding Request by Friends of Trinity to support annual “Feel the Thunder and Taste the Heat” Car Show & Chili Cookoff to be held Sat. Oct. 16, 2010 (Curtis Connor, Chairman, Friends of Trinity)

Mr. Connor stated that the event is getting larger every year. He stated that on October 16, 2010 the Friends of Trinity is sponsoring the 4th annual Car Show and Chili Cookoff. The event will be held at Braxton Craven School. The show is open to the public and is a free family event. Participants of car show are awarded trophies and the winners of the chili cookoff are awarded monetary prizes. Mr. Connor is requesting, on behalf of the Friends of Trinity, \$500 for support of the chili cookoff. All money raised goes back into the community.

Motion to approve the funding request of \$500 on a receipt reimbursement basis by Council member Sikes, seconded by Council member Earnst, approved unanimously by all Council members present.

Mayor Boyles stated that there were 15 volunteers at the monthly meeting of the Friends of Trinity. He commended the Friends of Trinity for all they do for the community. He also commended the Council for their support of the Friends of Trinity.

4. Request by Friends of Trinity to hold annual Veterans Appreciation Cookout on City property on Sat. Nov. 6, 2010 (Connor)

Mr. Connor, President of the Friends of Trinity, requested, on behalf of the Friends of Trinity, to hold the annual Veterans Appreciation Cookout on City property. This year the event will take place on Saturday November 6th, 2010 and lunch will be served from 11:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Last year there were approximately 125 veterans that participated in the cookout. The event is a good time for veterans to talk and fellowship. It is a good time for young people to learn about the sacrifices that veterans make for the country.

Motion to approve the event to be held on City Hall property on an annual basis by Council member Lambeth, seconded by Council member Sikes, approved by all Council members present.

Public Hearings*

5. Special Use Permit Request for a Telecommunications Tower near Hopewell Church Rd. and I-85 (Adam Stumb, Planning & Zoning Administrator)

Mayor Boyles explained the procedure for the hearing.

Council member Gantt stated that she and her brother own property across the street from where the proposed tower will be placed. She requested to be recused. Attorney Tom Johnson for the applicant advised that he sees no conflict of interest for Ms. Gantt.

Mayor Boyles opened the Public Hearing.

Assistant City Clerk Lori Hunt gave the oath of office to those wishing to give testimony in the Quasi Judicial Hearing.

Mr. Stumb advised that the applicant is American Towers with Co- Applicant being T Mobile. He stated that the applicant is being represented by attorney Tom Johnson. Mr. Stumb said the property in question is located behind the Wesleyan Church on Hopewell Church Rd. Notices were sent out earlier in the month to adjoining property owners, the property has been posted and ads were published in the newspaper. Mr. Stumb advised that the property is zoned RA. There are conditions and on the site plan shown the applicant has met the requirements thus far. The applicant has requested a setback reduction which will be considered by the Board of Adjustments if Council approves the Special Use Permit. The conditions were approved by the Planning Board last month. Mr. Stumb stated that the applicant for a special use permit has to prove that the four findings of fact have been met. Mr. Stumb stated that he has the site plans if anyone needs to refer to them.

Applicant –

Attorney Tom Johnson for the applicant stated that there is certain evidence that must be presented. He requested that the application and accompanying materials be admitted into evidence. Mr. Johnson advised that sometimes the Council or the Board of Adjustments has to sit in a Quasi Judicial decision and that Trinity's ordinance calls for it. He stated that the Planning Board recommended approval and that the applicant is aware of the additional conditions. Mr. Johnson advised that the access will be adjacent to a sewer line and that the applicant will work with the City's engineer to protect the sewer line. He stated that the applicant accepts the conditions being placed on the permit.

Mr. Johnson stated that the purpose for the application is because so many people use wireless devices and not just for talking on the phone. There is a demand on the system for wireless services.

Mr. Johnson advised that 50 to 70% of all calls to 911 are now made from mobile phones. Of those, 25% are made from indoors. Approximately 87% of Americans have a mobile phone that they carry with them. More than 20% of homes are wireless only. He stated that emergency service providers rely on wireless services.

Mr. Johnson stated that the service search ring is determined by T Mobile and they have determined that better service is needed within the search ring.

Mr. Kevin Jackson advised that he is representing T Mobile out of Charlotte, NC. He stated that the search ring is a circle where they have determined that service is needed. Mr. Jackson said that the site is planned to allow continuous coverage between cell sites. The search ring for the proposed site has a radius of about ½ mile. He stated that T Mobile tries to provide site spacing to come up with a coverage area based on the existing sites and based on customer complaints and dropped calls. The search ring originates from an engineer looking at statistics that will determine where coverage is needed to be improved in the area.

Mr. Jackson advised that the applicant, T Mobile, is trying to provide continuity between sites. He stated that the closer someone is to a site the better the coverage is going to be. The search ring provides better coverage along roads near the proposed site. Mr. Jackson advised that they ran an FCC study to see what the MPE is (Maximum Permissible Exposure), the amount of radiation a human can take. This site is projecting 0.6% out of 100% and constitutes a very low amount of radiation emitted. The applicant is trying to provide better in-building coverage. Mr. Jackson said that the applicant wants to make sure that they are providing an adequate level of service and they are proposing a tower with a height of 130 feet.

Council member Grooms wanted to know if the 130 feet is for one single tower to which Mr. Johnson replied, "yes," but there is space for additional service providers.

Mr. Johnson advised that American Tower is in the business of building towers at the request of providers. The idea is to have a tower tall enough for other providers use and provide service to the area. The design allows sufficient electrical and phone line space.

Mayor Boyles wanted to know how many antennas would be on the tower. Mr. Johnson replied that there is room for three additional carriers and each company could place 9 antennas. There will sufficient cables and electrical power to accommodate those companies.

Mr. Johnson stated that the site was chosen because of aesthetics. It is a raw land site and the applicant only plans to remove the trees necessary for the compound. The tower will be near the interstate. In the area there is a billboard that is adjacent to the access to the proposed site and the tower will have smaller projections than the billboard. There are light poles that are very tall that are adjacent to the interstate.

Graham Herring stated that he is a real estate broker and was hired by American Towers to look at the site to detect if there are any adverse effects of the tower on the area. He has

determined that there are no adverse effects in the area. Mr. Herring advised that in the last 17 years he has looked at over 1700 towers of this type. He advised that the proposed tower is similar to other monopole towers within the county. Mr. Herring is licensed real estate broker in North and South Carolina and has experience as a real estate appraiser.

Mr. Tom Johnson stated that the site will project 0.6% of the maximum radiation allowed. The standard has been met on both the state and federal levels.

The Mayor wanted to know if the applicant understood that to add additional carriers they would have to come back to the City for a Special Use Permit. Mr. Johnson advised that they understood that they would have to come back to the City for Special Use Permits.

Mayor Boyles was concerned about the level of maximum radiation allowed if other carriers were added to the tower. Mr. Johnson stated that even if there were four carriers the radiation level would be well below the maximum radiation allowed. He stated that some household items emit more radiation than the tower will emit.

Mr. Johnson stated that the ordinance allows for some reductions in setbacks. He said the applicant could not reduce the set back amount more than 50%. Mr. Johnson stated that by allowing for more than one user there could be a 10% reduction. For using a monopole tower there could be a 20% reduction and for placing the tower in existing forested areas there could be a 20% reduction for a total of 50% reduction.

Mr. Johnson advised that the applicant has to provide a professional engineer certification which is part of the application. The certification states that in the event that there is a failure that the failure will not exceed the reduced setbacks and the tower is designed so that won't happen.

Mr. William Garrett stated that he is the director of engineering for American Towers. He stated that from a structural engineering standpoint the fall radius had to be limited to 50% of the total height. Mr. Garrett said that American Towers bought the tower from a manufacturer and the structural design was reviewed and it is about 14% over and above code minimum. Mr. Garrett advised that the tower would not come down under normal circumstances. The tower is designed to withstand up to 75 mile per hour sustained winds. The factor of safety on the tower is 2.14 and more than 2.35 on the foundation. Mr. Garrett stated that there is a breakpoint designed in the tower. The tower is designed to bend over on itself. The tower is designed for four carriers. Mr. Garrett advised that each time a carrier is added there is another structural analysis on the tower.

Council member Earnst wanted to know about how the foundation was designed to prevent foundation failure. Mr. Garrett stated that the design of the foundation is done with a geotechnical report. He said they will do a structural design of cason foundation with rebar and for this tower there will be about a 7 foot diameter cason and it will be about 35 feet deep. The foundation will be the last thing to fail.

Mayor Boyles noted that if there was ice on the pole that the wind speed to which the tower is designed would drop to 65 miles per hour winds. Mr. Garrett advised that per the current standard the wind speed would drop to 65 miles per hour with a half inch of ice on the tower.

Attorney Wilhoit stated that at the bottom of the tower profile page there is a note that T Mobile reserves the right to install the 9 antennas and that there are 6 TMAs and he wanted

to know what the TMAs are. Mr. Garrett replied that the TMAs are tower mounted amplifiers and they were taken into consideration with the structural design of the tower.

Mr. Garrett advised that a 70 ton crane would be used to set the pole but that the sewer lines would be protected. He stated that insurance is required and they have sufficient coverage. Mr. Garrett advised that American Tower personnel will inspect the site and will make sure that the sewer line is not damaged at any time.

Mayor Boyles stated that he is concerned about ingress and egress. He asked if there could be another access to the property so that the City's line would be protected. Mr. Johnson assured the Mayor that the applicant would work with the City to protect the sewer line.

Council member Sikes wanted to know the depth of the sewer line to which Randy McNeill replied the line is about 3 feet deep.

Mayor Boyles stated that there could be another alternative to the ingress and egress.

Mr. Randy McNeill, Vice President of Davis, Martin, Powell and Associates, stated that he knew the sewer lines in Trinity and that DMP has been registered in the state of North Carolina for over 30 years. He advised that he is concerned that the site plan shows the road on top of the sewer line. Mr. McNeill stated that for practicality construction traffic will be kept away from the sewer easement. He recommended that no encroachment into the sewer easement be allowed. Mr. McNeill said that in his opinion there needed to be another point of access to the property. He also recommended that before the applicant plans the underground power and any underground service they need to make sure they locate the force main.

Council member Sikes asked Mr. Johnson if the applicant could comply with the condition that they stay off of the sewer easement. Mr. Johnson replied that they could stay away from the sewer line but at the present time could not comply with staying off the easement completely.

Mr. McNeill stated that his concern is that the sewer line is a pressure sewer line and if the joints deflect because of any changes in the pressure on the line there could be an extremely large leak.

Mr. Johnson stated that he apologized because he did not realize that the condition to stay off the sewer easement had been added to the list of conditions.

Mr. Rusty Monroe, owner of the Center for Municipal Solutions, stated that he has reviewed or been responsible for the review of 2000 – 3000 applications of this type. It is not uncommon for an applicant to be ordered to relocate either the entire site or some aspect of it. He advised that one of his concerns is a 7 – 8 foot access road because you could not get an emergency vehicle through a road that narrow.

Mr. Johnson stated that the road width standard would have to be met. He stated that the City could turn down the request but could not order the applicant to put the tower in another location.

Mr. Wilhoit advised that Council could place conditions on the permit.

Mr. Johnson stated that if the applicant could not meet the conditions the way the site is configured then they would have to come back with an alternative plan to get the Council to approve an amended Special Use Permit or they can't meet those conditions.

Ms. Bailie advised that the applicant was invited to meet with the City and the City's engineer and was encouraged to work out the issue of access to the site and the sewer line.

Motion to postpone until next month's meeting by Council member Grooms, seconded by Council member Sikes, motion is withdrawn until after the Public Hearing by Council members Grooms and Sikes.

Mayor Boyles stated that the applicant has heard from the Council that they are in favor of the tower if they can meet the conditions. Mr. Johnson replied that no encroachment on the sewer easement is a problem at this point. He advised that the applicant is willing to work with the City to protect the sewer line.

Council member Bridges asked if the applicant made an attempt to co-locate with an existing tower. Mr. Johnson replied that within the search ring there is nothing tall enough to allow co-location.

Mr. Kevin Jackson stated that the applicant considered existing structures and went to the area and looked at the search ring which is only a 1/2 mile radius. Council member Bridges wanted to know about the setbacks. Mr. Stumb replied that the proposed tower base is within the setbacks for the zoning district RA. Mr. Stumb advised that the ordinance for cell towers requires further setbacks of one foot per each foot of height of the tower.

Mr. Johnson stated the applicant considered the triangular piece of property and the 65 foot setback that they had to meet for the allowable reductions and the tower was placed so that they could meet the setback requirements of the ordinance.

Mr. Stumb stated that the compound is a leased area and Mr. Nance will still own the property. The tower would have to be set back from the property line not the compound.

Council member Bridges wanted to know how far from the exit ramp the tower would be located. Mr. Johnson advised that he did not know exactly how far the tower was from the exit ramp.

Mr. Johnson stated that the applicant had FAA approval and the tower does not have to be lighted. He stated that the tower is designed to hold up better than some light poles. Mr. Johnson stated that cell towers are the basis of communications in an emergency. If the electricity goes out and phone lines are down then generators have to be shipped to the towers to keep them going in the event of a power failure.

Mr. Johnson stated that the area where the proposed tower would be located is a minimum impact area. The proposed tower would enhance the ability of service providers of telecommunication services to provide service to the community. Mr. Johnson said that the applicant is providing for three additional users or providers of service. He stated that the applicant has met the requirements of the site plan. There will be a security fence eight feet high as required by the City's ordinance. The setback waivers will be subject to approval by the Board of Adjustments. There will be no outside storage on this site and the site is not an employment center and no one will be employed there. There will be occasional maintenance visits to the site. The color of the tower is neutral and there will be

no commercial advertising allowed on the tower or the site. Mr. Johnson stated that the tower is necessary because there are no alternative structures in the area. He stated that the tower is a practical necessity to keep the signal strength up in the area to provide necessary service in the event of an emergency. The owner of the tower is willing to allow other users to co-locate on the tower. The FAA has approved the tower at the height and at the site. The tower does not have to be lighted according to the FAA. Mr. Johnson advised that the radio frequency is far below what is permitted. He stated that the applicant has met the requirements of the ordinance, the tower will not injure the value of adjoining property and the use will be in harmony with surrounding area.

Council member Varner wanted to know how the site would fit with the Land Use Plan and what are the visual effects would be on the downtown area. Mr. Johnson replied that at 130 feet is not much higher than the tree level and the tower would not be seen.

Mr. Monroe stated that he was asked to speak to two issues. The first one is safety and the other one is technical need. He stated that his job was to enable the Council to make informed decisions. The site is a typical of a large number of cellular sites. Mr. Monroe advised that the ordinance stated that a need of coverage shall be demonstrated by the wireless provider. Under federal law the City may not prohibit or act in a manner that has the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal communications services. Mr. Monroe showed some pictures of towers that have failed. He stated that some monopoles have failed at wind speeds where they were not supposed to collapse. Mr. Monroe advised that the failures were not regular occurrences and that the failures were primarily due to catastrophic events. He stated that more often than not when there is a catastrophic failure it fails at the base plate or near that and those failures are due to one of three things; poor engineering, manufacturing or metal fatigue. Mr. Monroe said that in his experience more often than not it is not the engineering, but rather the manufacturing or the metal fatigue.

Mr. Monroe stated that the site is not one of need but is a site of desire, convenience and competition. There is already in-building service in the area of the proposed site. He said that phones are designed for voice communication to work at signal strength much less than what the applicant is looking for. Mr. Monroe stated that there is nothing wrong with the signal strength the applicant is looking for but there is not a technical need. He does not believe that the tower is to serve the City of Trinity but believes it is primarily to serve the traffic on Highway 85.

Mr. McNeill advised that part of the reason that the easement is 30 foot wide is because the force main may need to be enlarged in the future.

Mr. Jeff Perrier is a structural engineer with Sutton Kennerly. He stated that he has been licensed in North Carolina since 1985. Mr. Perrier stated that he does not have any reason to suspect that there is anything wrong with the design. He advised that if the tower is built properly there is no reason to believe that the tower will come down under normal circumstances. He said that if the tower does come down it will most likely be because of a catastrophic event such as a tornado.

Mr. Johnson stated that in terms of service there is a school and a fire department nearby. The service would be important not only to the school and fire department but also to travelers who may need the service in the event of an emergency.

Mr. Jackson with T Mobile stated that the wave of the future is data. It is important because EMTs have to transmit data to hospitals. He advised that a competitor, AT & T, is

setting the standard for a lot of things that are happening in wireless. He stated that there are schools that do not have the in-building coverage needed. He stated that the service is important to schools in the event of an emergency. Mr. Jackson advised that he believes that the tower is technically viable.

Mr. Garrett stated that he has looked at some of the failures that Mr. Monroe showed and he said that some of those failures were due to manufacturing. Mr. Garrett stated that when American Towers put up a tower that they wanted to co locate the tower and to be safe for the public. He advised that there is an inspection routine and the site is monitored. He stated that the tower will not come down unless there is a catastrophic event. Mr. Garrett stated that during the hurricane Katrina American Towers had 1,038 towers in the path of Katrina. He advised that they lost 14 towers and of those almost all were guide towers. Mr. Garrett said that half of the towers lost were due to debris flying through the air and hitting the tower. He stated that the monopoles became very important in providing communication during the catastrophic event.

Mr. Monroe stated that he is not making a recommendation against the tower. He advised that he was asked to come and show the Council the things that he showed. Hearing no further comments, Mayor Boyles closed the public hearing.

Mayor Boyles asked the City attorney if the conditions are legal. Mr. Wilhoit replied yes the conditions are legal.

Motion to table until the engineers have an opportunity to get together and work out issues with ingress and egress by Council member Grooms . . .

After discussion motion to table is withdrawn by Council member Grooms.

Manager Bailie advised that it is recommended that a \$75,000 amount be placed on the bond in #9 on the list of conditions.

Manager Bailie read the 4 standards of recommendation. They are as follows:
That the use or development is located, designed and proposed to be operated so as to maintain or promote the public health, safety and general welfare;
that the use or development complies with all required regulations and standards of this ordinance and with all other applicable regulations;
that the use or development is located, designed and proposed to be operated so as not to substantially injure the value of adjoining or abutting property, or that the use or development is a public necessity; and
that the use or development will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and conforms with the general plans for the land use and development of the City of Trinity and its environs.

Motion to approve the special use permit request based upon the evidence presented at the public hearing and subject to adhering to the nine (9) conditions set forth by Council marked Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, including but not limited to, the addition of a removal bond in the amount of \$75,000 and that ingress and egress for the site established outside of the City's sewer easement by Council member Grooms, seconded by Council member Sikes, approved unanimously by all Council members present.



EXHIBIT A

Conditions to the Special Use Permit request for a
Telecommunications Tower at Hopewell Church Rd. and Interstate 85
Approved by Trinity City Council Sept. 21, 2010

1. Ingress/egress to the property shall be identified on the site plan and approved by the City.
2. There shall be no encroachment on the City's sanitary sewer easement.
3. The Board of Adjustment must consider and find that the applicants' request for a reduction in setbacks is acceptable.
4. A complete foundation design (including all calculations and assumptions) must be submitted, reviewed and approved by the City.
5. Pursuant to North Carolina State Law, the monopole and T-Mobile's wireless facility attached thereto shall be completely constructed and ready for use no later than 24 months from the date of the Special Use Permit or the Permit shall be deemed to have expired and of no use or effect.
6. Contractor Sign-Off Report: At the completion of construction and prior to the final inspection being conducted, American Tower Corporation and T-Mobile, respectively, shall provide to the City of Trinity, individually for each entity, an unredacted, signed copy of the contractor's final (sign-off) report provided to its client showing in detail all work performed.
7. Final Inspection: At the completion of construction the Applicant shall notify the City and provide proof that all inspections have been satisfactorily completed and the project is ready for a final on-site inspection by providing a copy of the inspection report(s) to the Planning Department.
8. T-Mobile shall not be permitted to provide service commercially until a Certificate of Completion or its functional equivalent is issued for each of the permitted entities, i.e. T-Mobile and ATC, or risk forfeiting the Special Use Permit.
9. The applicant shall provide a \$75,000.00 bond to cover the cost of removing the tower if the tower is abandoned and no longer in use.

Reports

6. Infrastructure projects update (Rich Baker, Utilities & Public Works Director/Randy McNeill, Davis-Martin-Powell & Assoc.)

- A. Phases 3 & 4-A Contract 1 Sewer Construction (*Baker*)
- B. Updates: Wastewater Treatment Plant Feasibility Study, Trinity Furniture Sewer Line, Phase 4-A Contracts 2 & 3, Phase 4-B Old Town and Contracts 1 & 2) (*McNeill*)

Motion to postpone the Infrastructure projects update by Council member Earnst, seconded by Council member Sikes, approved unanimously by all Council members present.

Consent Agenda

7. Approve Minutes of the August 10, 2010 City Council Pre-agenda Meeting (*Lori Hunt, Assistant City Clerk*)

8. Approve Minutes of the August 17, 2010 Regular City Council Meeting (*Hunt*)

9. Accept resignation of Sid Stewart from Infrastructure/Parks & Recreation Committee (*Ann Bailie, City Manager*)

Motion to approve the Consent Agenda by Council member Sikes, seconded by Council member Lambeth, approved unanimously by all Council members present.

Unfinished Business

None

New Business

10. Consider Resolution of Intent to provide curbside trash & recycling services (*Bailie*)

Manager Bailie advised that the City is talking with the local haulers who are in the process of forming their corporation. She stated that curbside trash service is expected to be collected weekly and recyclables are expected to be collected every other week. The City will apply for the grant funding for the toters. Service will be mandatory except in certain situations that would require trucks to drive on private roads. Collection on private roads will be considered if residents sign a hold harmless agreement. She stated that small businesses may participate if they generate residential type waste is generated. All trash and recyclables must be brought to the public street. Manager Bailie advised that the monthly fee is approximately \$15 per month and the billing will be done by Davidson Water. Payments would be applied as follows; first payment would be for the oldest Trinity charge whether that be trash or sewer, the second payment would be for the oldest Davidson Water charge, the third payment would be applied toward the most current Trinity charge and the fourth payment would be applied to the most current Davidson Water charge. Davidson water will cut off the water when the customer is behind by two bills. Manager Bailie stated that the City will purchase roll out toters for recyclables. Ms. Bailie advised that she has been in touch with the state and grant funding is available which would allow the City to purchase toters for about \$25 per totter. During transition residents would use their existing garbage containers and the City will seek grant funding to pay for new toters. The target implementation date is January 2011. In the meantime residents have the opportunity to recycle plastics at the Friends of Trinity's Car Show and Chili Cookoff where there will be bins for recycling and shredding of important personal documents. Also recycling will be available at the City Haul November 11 – 13, 2010.

Council member Varner is concerned that the elderly may need assistance in getting the toters to the road. Council member Sikes stated that could be discussed with the haulers.

Mayor Boyles stated that the resolution is one of intent to move forward with curbside trash and recycling.

Motion to adopt resolution of intent to provide curbside trash and recycling services by Council member Lambeth, seconded by Council member Grooms, approved unanimously by all Council members present.

11. Consider Resolution requesting NC DOT improvements to intersection of NC Hwy. 62 and Sealy Dr. (Bailie)

Mayor Boyles stated that one of the problems at the intersection of NC Hwy. 62 and Sealy Dr. is that trucks turning right onto Sealy Dr. from Hwy. 62 are running over and crushing a stormwater pipe causing water to back up onto Hwy 62. In cold weather the water freezes and creates a hazardous condition.

Motion to approve the resolution requesting NC DOT improvements to intersection of NC Hwy.62 and Sealy Dr. by Council member Grooms, seconded by Council member Earnst, approved unanimously by all Council members present.

Business from City Manager

Manager Bailie advised that on November 2, 2010, which is Election Day, there will be a “Design the Park” workshop. One session will be from 2:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. and another from 6:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. in the City Hall Annex building.

Business from Mayor and Council

Miscellaneous business and comments from Mayor and Council

Closing Comments from Mayor and Council

Mayor Boyles recognized Council member Tyler Earnst for making the newspaper. Mr. Earnst is a chiropractor in the Archdale area.

Mayor Boyles advised Council that he had spoken with the Randolph County Sheriff and was told that if the City had a No Littering ordinance and had no littering signs posted that the deputy could write tickets for littering. Mayor Boyles has already picked up 115 bags of garbage.

Adjournment

Motion to adjourn by Council member Sikes, seconded by Council member Earnst, approved unanimously by all Council members present.

These minutes were approved at the October 19, 2010 Council meeting with a motion by Council member Grooms, seconded by Council member Johnson, approved unanimously by all Council members present.