



## **Trinity NC City Council Meeting Agenda 2/16/10**

**Members Present:** Mayor Carlton Boyles, Tyler Earnst, Karen Bridges, Kristen Varner, Kelly Grooms, Robbie Sikes, Tommy Johnson and Linda Gantt

**Members Late:** Barry Lambeth - 8:01 p.m.

**Members Absent:** None

**Others Present:** Manager Ann Bailie, City Attorney Bob Wilhoit, Planning Administrator Adam Stumb, Code Enforcement Officer Joe Rainey, Stormwater Administrator Rich Baker, City Clerk/Finance Officer Debbie Hinson, Assistant City Clerk Lori Hunt, members of the media and other interested parties.

### **Call to Order**

- **Pledge of Allegiance**

Mayor Boyles led the Pledge of Allegiance.

- **Invocation**

Mayor Boyles gave the invocation.

- **Welcome Guests and Visitors**

Mayor Boyles welcomed those present and called the meeting to order.

- **Review & Approve Agenda**

*Motion to approve agenda by Council member Earnst, seconded by Council member Johnson, approved unanimously by all Council members present.*

### **Public Comments**

None

### **Proclamations & Recognition**

1. **Recognize March 7 – 13, 2010 as Women in Construction Week** *(Mayor Boyles)*

Mayor Boyles recognized Women in Construction Week with a proclamation.

2. **Recognize March 8 – 14 as Multiple Sclerosis Awareness Week** *(Mayor Boyles)*

Mayor Boyles recognized Multiple Sclerosis Awareness Week with a proclamation.

3. **Recognition of former Fair Grove Fire Chief Scott Rudisill** *(Mayor Boyles)*

Mayor Boyles recognized Fair Grove Fire Chief Scott Rudisill for his many years of service to the community.

## **Reports & Funding Requests**

### **4. Annual report and funding request for Archdale-Trinity Chamber of Commerce** *(Beverly Nelson, President)*

Ms. Nelson stated that she had three purposes for addressing the Council. First, she wanted to thank the City of Trinity for the financial support in 2009 and to report on how the dollars were spent. Second, she wanted to tell those present of the plans for 2010 and finally, to ask to be included in the 2010 – 2011 budget.

Ms. Nelson stated that 2009 was a challenging as well as rewarding year. Some programs and events did not meet expectations, some exceeded them. She advised that the Chamber dealt with the challenge of making ends meet. Programs were evaluated and the Chamber looked for ways to cut costs and tighten up on operational expenses. By careful conservation of resources, both staff and volunteer, they were able to maintain the same level of service that clients have come to expect.

Some of the activities that are of particular interest to the City of Trinity include the leadership program, candidates forum, and Piedmont Triad Legislative Agenda. Ms. Nelson advised that she served as Chair of the Piedmont Triad Chambers Group which brings visibility to the Trinity area. There was also a successful Lunch with Lawmakers event giving citizens of the Trinity community a chance to bring concerns to the elected officials on the county, state and federal levels. Ms. Nelson stated that in tourism related activity the Chamber co-hosted the Jack Frost Youth Soccer Tournament which brought 35 teams in from throughout the state to stay in the areas' hotels purchase gas and other items from the area. The Chamber administered the community awards program to recognize local heroes.

Ms. Nelson stated that in the arena of economic development the Chamber updated contact information in preparation of resuming their comprehensive and aggressive program of identifying and contacting businesses that we believe would be a good fit for the community. Ms. Nelson has met with business owners who have sought advice on business direction, real estate, demographics and other business assistance. In partnership with Randolph Community College the Chamber continues to offer seminars and classes that will help businesses compete.

The Merchants Alliance conducted two “shop locally” campaigns and the Chamber printed and distributed a shopping guide. The Chamber provided monthly business information programming through the Coffee and Conversations and offered workshops and seminars with a focus on the small business owner.

The Chamber distributed over 150 visitor relocation packets and created a partnership with realtors who give relocation packets to home buyers at real estate closings. The Chamber supported the educational programs of the community by co-sponsoring Job Shadowing day. In partnership with Communities in Schools, the Chamber instituted a new program called Reality Store for high school students.

The Chamber promoted the activities of the City of Trinity such as City Haul and the strategic planning process. Ms. Nelson stated that the Chamber had also promoted the events of the Historical Society and the Friends of Trinity such as the Chili Cookoff. The

Chamber has represented the City of Trinity through staff or volunteers on many local, county and Triad boards giving the citizens of Trinity a voice in policy development.

The Chamber will continue to offer its general program of work. The Chamber will produce an updated shopping guide for the community as new businesses come in. The Chamber will also hold a candidates forum for county, state and federal elections. The economic development committee intends to bring in some resources that will help the merchants and commercial businesses learn about grant opportunities through the Rural Center. The Chamber will continue to be a cheerleader for the City of Trinity as a great place to do business. Also the Chamber will produce a membership directory for distribution this summer. Through educational seminars, low cost marketing and counseling the Chamber will continue helping local businesses.

The request is for \$5,000 of continued support.

***Motion to approve the request for funding in the amount of \$5,000 by Council member Grooms, seconded by Council member Sikes, approved unanimously by all Council members present.***

**5. Infrastructure projects update** (*Randy McNeill, Davis-Martin-Powell & Assoc.*)  
Mr. McNeill advised that in recognizing Women In Construction Week, the low bidder for the stimulus project and also the project in Phase 3, are a 100% women owned business.

Mr. McNeill stated that the City received an official letter today documenting that 100% of the requested allocation of stimulus money relating to the construction portion of Phase 4A Contract 1 was approved.

Mr. McNeill gave the following updates:

- Phase 4A Old Town project is progressing
- Turnpike Industrial project roadway improvements have been made

### **Public Hearings**

**6. Amendments to Zoning Ordinance Article XIII Section 13-12 Parking and Transportation as pertains to tractor-trailers** (*Adam Stumb, Planning & Zoning Administrator*)

Mr. Stumb explained that the City staff had tried to find a way to address the issues brought up by the Council concerning established or existing neighborhoods by making a distinction between these areas and more rural areas.

As detailed in the proposed chart of Tractor and Trailer Parking Classifications for Residential Zoning Districts, truck tractors and trailers would be prohibited from parking on properties designated Classification A, (residential zoning districts R40, R20, R12, RM and RA (less than 2.5 acres) abutting local streets.) Classification B: One truck would be allowed to park on residential properties abutting major and minor roads, if screened from view of public rights of way. Classification C: Residential properties on corner lots abutting a local street would have the same restrictions as Classification A; Classification D: one truck and one trailer would be allowed to park on RA zoned properties comprising 2 ½ acres or more if screened from view or 200 feet from the center line of the street.

Mr. Stumb stated that two options are proposed: Option A would allow property owners in all classifications to request a reclassification; Option B would allow property owners in all classifications except C to request a reclassification. Ms. Bailie added that the property

owner could re-apply for a permit each 3 years but after 2011 there would be no new permits issued.

Mayor Boyles opened the public hearing.

**Jerry Sturgis - 6808 Country Meadows**

Mr. Sturgis stated that for the past 1 ½ years, a neighbor drives and parks a truck that shakes his home when it comes in on the property next door to him. He stated that he has nothing against trucks, but would like his peace and quiet restored.

**William Rabon - 6800 Country Meadows**

Mr. Rabon has lived in the neighborhood for 11 years. He would like the truck removed from his neighborhood because it is a nuisance to he and his wife.

**Jim Barker - 6846 Country Meadows**

Mr. Barker stated that he does not feel like trucks belong in a residential area. He stated that the roads are not able to withstand the semi-truck traffic.

**Brenda Campbell - 3440 Circle Court**

Ms. Campbell advised she does not believe tractor trailers belong in residential districts. She stated that she does not think that the streets are paved to handle the big rig traffic. She believes that the trucks belong in business districts. Ms. Campbell stated that truck drivers have stated that their livelihood would be affected by not allowing them to park their rigs at home. She stated that in today's economy about all people have is the equity in their home and she feels that truck parking would affect the equity in her home should she decide to sell. She has asked several women if they would want a billboard in their neighborhood.

**Bill Burge - 5554 Morgan St.**

Mr. Burge advised that he has a tractor that is a single axle and it weighs about 13,000 pounds when it is empty. He stated that trash trucks and a loaded school buses weigh more than that. He does not see the difference in bringing his tractor home and bringing his pick p truck home.

**Scott Lewallen - 3916 Canter Dr.**

Mr. Lewallen stated that he does not believe that trucks belong in residential areas.

**Chester Ayers - 3498 Circle Court**

Mr. Ayers believes that the existing truck ordinance in Trinity should be left alone. Mr. Ayers does not believe that the truck ordinance stops a truck driver from making a living. He stated that he is grateful for all the things a truck brings to consumers. Without the consumers the truck drivers' income would be under threat. The ordinance is concerned only with truck parking. Mr. Ayers stated that people should care about the noise and the sight of trucks in their neighborhoods. He stated that there is a petition against the ordinance signed by many people and he states that the petition contained the names of some people who do not live in Trinity. He stated also that parking on your own property does not protect the contents of the trailer. He stated that when he was buying a home several years ago he discovered a tractor trailer parked at the end of a cul de sac. He turned down the house near the truck which indicates that trucks affect property value. Mr. Ayers advised that Trinity has an ordinance against billboards and he compared the trailers to a billboard.

**Ed Lohr – 5366 Meadowbrook Dr.**

Mr. Lohr stated that his truck is expensive and he spends \$1,000 per year in having his truck polished to keep it looking good. He stated that he has good neighbors and he does not feel as though the property values are affected since the home next to his house sold and got a fair price. He stated that Trinity is a place for good neighbors.

**Gerald Wong - 3454 Circle Court**

Mr. Wong advised that before he moved to Trinity he checked the codes for truck parking issues. There were no codes against truck parking when he checked for them. He then purchased a \$170,000 home in Trinity. He parks his truck at his home and his neighbors were not against his truck parking in the beginning. He stated that it has cost him over \$500 to park his truck somewhere else and his truck has been broken into. He has had the diesel siphoned out of his truck and has had to reimburse his employer for that. He stated that people should be glad to not have to get up at 1,2 or 3 o'clock in the morning to make a delivery. He advised that he believes that neighbors should talk and not have to make a law to settle the issue of truck parking.

**Tommy Wilmoth - 6257 Cedar Square Rd.**

Mr. Wilmoth stated that he has watched people from the north move south into the state of Florida. He believes that they have moved into North Carolina and are trying to change the way of living here. He stated that he has parked his truck in his driveway for 55 years and has had no complaints. He requested that the screening of trucks to be defined.

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Boyles closed the public hearing.

Council member Varner stated that she believes the truckers who are already in Trinity should be grandfathered. She does not believe that the permitting system should be used but rather the current zoning for legal non –conforming use. If the permitting system is used she believes that those truckers who are currently in Trinity should get a truck parking permit.

Council member Bridges stated that grandfathering for non-conforming uses may not be used for truck parking, as it is only applicable to stationary non-conforming land uses.

Council member Earnst stated that he was concerned that there might be some discrimination and that allowing truck parking to continue in residential neighborhoods does not solve the problem

Attorney Wilhoit stated that the non – conforming land use is usually for other than a mobile vehicle use. After talking with the School of Government and his law partners, he explained that there would be significant issues for staff in trying to grandfather mobile vehicles.

Mayor Boyles said he also spoke with Mr. Ducker at the School of Government who talked about 100 trucks not just the 10 – 12 trucks Trinity would have to deal with. Mayor Boyles feels that there is no win – win situation. He stated that Council has been trying to find a resolution to the truck parking issue.

There was discussion as to whether or not the problem would be solved with the current ordinance or by permitting or grandfathering.

Manager Bailie stated that if the City does any (quote) “grandfathering” at all it would need to be done by permit. She suggested that the question for the Council is whether the

City wants to allow truck parking in residential neighborhoods – once that question is answered, establishing the permit process would be easy.

Council member Sikes stated that he thought it was a good idea for residents to talk with their neighbors and allow restrictive covenants to manage the situation.

***Motion by Council member Grooms to allow permitting of current truckers that apply within the next 30 days to park their tractors where they live if they own their property and that after 30 days from this date, February 16, no tractor trailers will be allowed to park in residential zoning districts. The motion was seconded by Council member Varner and approved by a majority vote with Council members Earnst and Bridges being opposed.***

6-A. Consider adoption of Amendments to Zoning Ordinance Article XIII Section 13-12 including Trinity Road Classification Map  
No Action taken.

6-B. Consider repeal of previously adopted amendments to Zoning Ordinance Article XIII Section 13-10 as pertains to tractor-trailer parking and nullification of enforcement actions  
No action taken.

6-C. Consider amendment to Fee Schedule for Property Reclassification as pertains to Zoning Ordinance Article XIII Section 13-12  
No action taken.

**7. Rezoning Request Z2010-01 for property located at 4219 and 4217 Meadowbrook View Dr. PIN No. 6797122653 Lot 155 from R-40 (Residential) to O&I – CZ (Office and Institutional – Conditional Zoning) (Stumb)**

Mr. Stumb advised that the property in question is currently zoned R40 and is located at the corner of Hwy. 62 and Meadowbrook View. The request to rezone was made by the property owner, G.W. Loflin & Associates.. The legal notices were given, both the mailed notice and the newspaper notice. The property was posted on each street frontage on January 11, 2010. In December of 2008 the property had permits issued for a duplex that was moved to the site. The lot is a non-conforming lot with about 32,000 square feet. It falls under the 40,000 square feet for the zoning district. Most of the lots in the neighborhood are also non-conforming. The ordinance allows non-conforming lots to be developed if the setbacks are met. Current zoning is for residential and not for offices.

Mr. Baker stated that the property in question has only one creek. He advised that according to the FEMA Flood Maps used to determine compliance the property owner is in full compliance.

The area's Land Use Plan calls for mixed use. The conditions of approval are small scale office type uses. Any other use would have to come back to Council for approval. Staff recommends approval based on conformity with the Land Development Plan. The Planning Board met in January and voted 7 to 1 to recommend approval.

Council member Gantt was concerned about there being sufficient parking. Council member Johnson mentioned that there would need to be buffering if used as commercial.

Mr. Stumb stated that the impervious surface is about 13.8% so there would be plenty of room for additional parking.

**Gary Loflin - 7229 Bridlewood Dr.**

Mr. Loflin advised that he has been permitted for everything he has done. He had two separate engineering companies lay out the property. He stated that he cleaned up the property. Some of the neighbors said that he has improved the site and that there was better visibility on Highway 62. It blends with the village approach that Trinity is trying to promote. The zoning is currently RM. Mr. Loflin advised that there were 166 lots in the neighborhood. He spoke with owners of 122 homes and they said "yes" to the rezoning. There were nine property owners who said "no", and with vacant lots counted as "no" that brings the total of "no" votes to 13. There were 33 houses whose owners could not be contacted. He stated that he asked for Office / Institutional not Office / Industrial.

Council member Earnst asked Mr. Loflin if rezoned would he put up screening to which Mr. Loflin replied "yes".

**In Favor**

**Dwight McDowell - 4322 NC Hwy 62**

Mr. McDowell reminded those present that Mr. Loflin could not do anything other than what the Council approves. He stated that Mr. Loflin's office at its previous location had not drawn a lot of traffic. He also stated that the property had been improved.

**Wanda Bristow - 4235 Meadowbrook View Rd.**

Ms. Bristow stated that she does not have a problem with an office being there. Mr. Loflin has cleaned up the area she said.

**Larry Barnes - 4322 Meadowbrook View Rd**

Mr. Barnes stated that Mr. Loflin has the area cleaned up. He stated that he has no problem with an office there.

**Against**

**James Baker - 4615 NC Hwy 62**

Mr. Baker advised that his property is adjacent to the property in question. He stated that the area does not need business encroachment. Mr. Baker stated that he might be O.K. with an office but not any other business. He was concerned that this rezoning could be considered spot zoning. Mr. Baker stated that he was opposed to the rezoning.

**Candy Gwyn - 4226 Meadowbrook View Rd.**

Ms. Gwyn stated that she has recently bought property that is adjacent to the property in question. She advised that she was opposed to any business being placed in that location. She does not want a busy street there.

**Mickey Freeman - 6993 Kingston Rd.**

Ms. Freeman is opposed to any business that could bring a lot of traffic. She stated that she might be O.K. with one office but is opposed at this time.

**Charles Rutherford - 7006 Kingston Ct.**

Mr. Rutherford stated that Mr. Loflin spoke to his wife and that they did not know about the other possible uses. He is opposed because the possible uses could run down the neighborhood.

**Bonnie Rutherford - 7006 Kingston Ct.**

Ms. Rutherford stated that Mr. Loflin visited her home and she signed his petition. She advised that the property had been improved but that it was to be residential. She stated that she did not want any of the other uses to be in that area.

**Rebuttal**

**Dwight McDowell - 4322 NC Hwy 62**

Mr. McDowell stated that he understood the concerns of some of the neighbors. He stated that the office was to be for Mr. Loflin and he did not think that Mr. Loflin had any other plans for the building.

**Wanda Bristow – 4235 Meadowbrook View Rd.**

Ms. Bristow is fine with an office but not any other use.

**Gary Loflin – 7229 Bridlewood Dr.**

Mr. Loflin stated that the building had not been used for an office for 1 ½ years. He further stated that he would not go to that building and use it as an office without permission from the City. He stated that it was safer to go out onto Highway 62 now that the lot had been cleaned up.

\*\*\* This section of the minutes were amended at the April 20, 2010 Regular City Council meeting upon request by Council member Gantt to include the following :

**AMENDMENT to MINUTES:** *In response to an audience member saying that Mr. Loflin told her the property would only be used as an office, Mr. Loflin replied, "I absolutely did." Mr. Loflin stated that he needed a place to go to work in the mornings and that he has worked his whole life.*

*This amendment to the February 16, 2010 minutes was approved under the Consent Agenda Item # 9 upon motion by Council member Earnst, seconded by Council member Bridges and approved unanimously by all Council members present with a vote of 8 ayes and 0 nays. No Council members were absent.*

**Bonnie Rutherford – 7006 Kingston Ct.**

Ms. Rutherford posed the question: Would any of you want a barber shop or beauty salon in your neighborhood? She is opposed to anything but residential use for the building.

**Candy Gwyn – 4226 Meadowbrook View Rd.**

Ms. Gwyn stated that there are vehicles parked at the building during the daytime hours.

Council member Bridges stated that she did not understand how the building was allowed to be moved knowing that one of the buildings was used as an office. Planner Stumb replied that the buildings were permitted as residential prior to being moved and cannot be occupied until converted back to residential unless rezoned. Council member Bridges wanted to know why there was a difference between the site plan and what staff has said is the square footage. Planner Stumb replied that the information that staff has is taken from the GIS system.

Planner Stumb stated that there were two requirements if rezoned and they are: 1. to add buffering and 2. to add parking spaces ( 1 space per 250 feet).

There was discussion concerning accessory structures, uses for property and Land Use Plan.

*Motion to approve request to rezone with only use being an office by Council member Bridges, seconded by Council member Gantt and after discussion both motion and second were rescinded.*

*Motion to approve rezoning request Z2010-01 in accordance with North Carolina general statute 160A-383 and the Council hereby finds and determines that the approval of this rezoning request is consistent with the adopted Land Development Plan and is reasonable and in the public interest by Council member Sikes, seconded by Council member Varner, approved by a majority vote with Council members Gantt, Johnson and Bridges being opposed.*

### Consent Agenda

8. **Approve minutes: Jan. 9, 2010 City Council Retreat** (*Lori Hunt, Assistant City Clerk*)
9. **Approve minutes: Jan. 9, 2010 City Council Pre-agenda Meeting** (*Hunt*)
10. **Approve minutes: Jan. 19, 2010 Regular City Council Meeting** (*Hunt*)
11. **Adopt Resolution authorizing signatories for CDBG and IDF programs** (*Debbie Hinson, Finance Officer*)
12. **Adopt Resolution for Issuance of Bonds for Trinity Phase 3 Sewer Project** (*Hinson*)

*Motion to approve the Consent Agenda by Council member Earnst, seconded by Council member Lambeth, approved unanimously by all Council members present.*

### New Business

13. **Consider Resolution in Support of ¼ cent Sales Tax to fund Randolph Community College Capital Projects** (*Dr. Bob Shackelford, President, Randolph Community College*)

Dr. Shackelford stated that Randolph Community College is full at the present time. The College is serving 3100 college credit students. Dr. Shackelford advised that the college is serving 8400 continuing education students per year. In total the college is serving 12 to 13 thousand students per year. Over 1200 students are from the Archdale – Trinity area. The college also serves 65 high school students giving them college credits while still in high school.

Dr. Shackelford stated that the college budget is supplied 85% by the state and the tuition is sent to the state not kept by the college. The 85% pays for faculty salaries, computers, equipment, supplies, and for operations. The remaining budget, 15%, is supplied by the county. The 15% pays for maintenance and not for capital improvements..

Dr. Shackelford advised that the county gave the college permission to build a building on the college campus. There was an abandoned warehouse on some property that was adjacent to the college's property. Dr. Shackelford stated that he thought it was a good idea to convert the warehouse for use by the college. The architects have told Dr. Shackelford that the plans for that building have saved the tax payers 2 ½ - 3 million dollars. The problem is that 1 ½ years later the building is still sitting empty. The county is not in a position to fund renovations at the present time.

The county has 3 options:

- To say to the college “sorry” and “close your doors to any more students”
- To have a 2 cent property tax increase
- To raise sales tax ¼ of a cent designated to capital needs of RCC

Option 3 would bring in as much money as option 2.

Another statistic is that there are 11.2% of the counties population is unemployed and of those 44% are enrolled in RCC.

Dr. Shackelford stated that he is passionate about this issue because he does not want to turn away any students.

The basic necessities such as groceries, gasoline and medicine are not included in the proposed sales tax increase.

***Motion to approve support for the ¼ cent sales tax to fund RCC capital projects by Council member Grooms, seconded by Council member Sikes, approved unanimously by all Council members present.***

**14. Consider approval of proposed Street Paving Schedule** (*Rick Austin, Abbotts Creek Engineering*)

Mr. Austin stated that in 2007 he did an evaluation of the City’s street system. The streets would need to be resurfaced once every 20 years. There have been a few pothole complaints and the City has done \$150,000 work in the last few years. Currently the City is not receiving any complaints. The majority of problems on streets is cracking. There will be some full depth repair that will need to be done. The program has \$18,000 worth of repairs to be done in this calendar year.

The system used to repair streets is to put down a seal coat and then put resurfacing on top of that. That process seems to be working well. To minimize costs, repairs will need to be made before a problem arises and to stay ahead of the work needed to be done.

Mr. Austin stated that this coming August he proposes that the street repairs that need to be done are Saddle Club from Finch Farm to Bridlewood and a seal coat will need to be done on Bridlewood and Fox Chase .

There was discussion concerning the pump station roads and the types of material to be used on them.

***Motion to approve the proposed street paving schedule by Council member Grooms, seconded by Council member Earnst, approved unanimously by all Council members present.***

**15. Consider amendments to Stormwater Ordinance** (*Rich Baker, Stormwater Administrator*)

Mr. Baker stated that the City had an audit by the state Division of Water Quality. The City has a small area in the Randleman Lake Dam watershed. Because of that, some language will need to be added to the ordinance.

*Motion to approve amendments to stormwater ordinance by Council member Lambeth, seconded by Council member Earnst, approved unanimously by all Council members present.*

**16. Consider agreement for grant administration services relative to 2009 CDBG Hook-Up project**

Ms. Bailie stated that the City received 2 proposals for grant administration. One was from Steve Austin who the City has worked with on other grants and one other proposal was received. The committee met and unanimously decided to recommend acceptance of the proposal from Steve Austin.

*Motion to approve agreement for grant administration services relative to 2009 CDBG Hook Up project and to approve Steve Austin as grant administrator by Council member Sikes, seconded by Council member Johnson, approved unanimously by all Council members present.*

**Business from City Manager**

None

**Business and Closing Comments from Mayor and Council**

None

**Adjournment**

*Motion to adjourn by Council member Earnst, seconded by Council member Varner, approved unanimously by all Council members present.*

The original minutes for the February 26, 2010 meeting were approved as written at the March 16, 2010 Regular City Council Meeting under the Consent Agenda Item # 5 with a *Motion to approve the Consent Agenda by Council member Sikes, seconded by Council member Earnst, approved unanimously by all Council members present.*

**The February 26 Regular City Council Meeting minutes approved at the March 16, 2010 meeting were amended upon request from Council member Gantt as follows and have been included in these minutes.**

**AMENDMENT to MINUTES: *In response to an audience member saying that Mr. Loflin told her the property would only be used as an office, Mr. Loflin replied, "I absolutely did." Mr. Loflin stated that he needed a place to go to work in the mornings and that he has worked his whole life.***

***This amendment to the February 16, 2010 minutes was approved under the Consent Agenda Item # 9 upon motion by Council member Earnst, seconded by Council member Bridges and approved unanimously by all Council members present with a vote of 8 ayes and 0 nays. No Council members were absent.***

\_\_\_\_\_  
Carlton Boyles, Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lori Hunt, Assistant City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date